

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

**KRISTAL M. KHAN, MICHELLE R.  
BALLINGER, and GEORGE A. CRAAN,  
individually and on behalf of all others  
similarly situated,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**PTC INC., THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS  
OF PTC INC., THE INVESTMENT  
COMMITTEE OF PTC INC., and JOHN  
DOES 1-30,**

**Defendants.**

**Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-11710-WGY**

**PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION REGARDING  
MODIFICATION OF THE FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT**

Plaintiffs Kristal M. Khan, Michelle R. Ballinger, and George A. Craan (together, "Plaintiffs"), through their undersigned counsel, respectfully move this Court for clarification regarding the Court's instructions during the Fairness Hearing of the settlement in the above-captioned action on Thursday, September 22, 2022. In further support of this motion, Plaintiffs state as follows:

1. At the Fairness Hearing, the Court stated it was prepared to enter the proposed Final Approval Order and Judgment with a slight modification regarding Plaintiffs' request for attorneys' fees.

2. The Court further stated it believed Plaintiffs' counsel's request for attorneys' fees of 33 1/3% should be applied to the net settlement amount (net of case contribution awards and expenses), rather than applying the 33 1/3% to the gross settlement fund.

3. The Court instructed Plaintiffs to modify the Final Approval Order and Judgment to reflect the Court's findings.

4. Plaintiffs seek clarification as to whether the expenses the Court referred to includes settlement administration costs (which have yet to be incurred) needed to facilitate administration of the Settlement given that such costs will be deducted from the Settlement Fund.

5. By way of illustration, subtracting only the case contribution awards and attorneys' fees results in the following: \$1,725,000.00 - \$30,000 (CCA) - \$15,204.37 (unreimbursed expenses) x 33-1/3% = attorneys' fees of **\$559,875.88**.

6. By way of further illustration, subtracting additional settlement administrative costs yet to be incurred from the settlement fund would require the Court to consider such costs as the \$15,000 payment to the independent fiduciary, Fiduciary Counselors, and the amount it will cost the settlement administrator (JND Legal Administration) to administer the settlement fund, including its work to calculate the settlement amounts to class members, and distribute payments.

7. Following the Fairness Hearing, the parties requested JND to provide their expenses to date and an estimate of future expenses needed to administer the settlement. JND stated it has incurred \$44,021.56 in this matter through August 31, 2022 and anticipates incurring an additional \$48,978.44 through distribution and completion of its administration, bringing its total estimated administration fees and costs to \$93,000.

8. If the Court meant for these additional costs to be included in the calculation of attorneys' fees, then attorneys' fees will be as follows: \$1,725,000.00 - \$30,000 (CCA) - \$15,204.37 (unreimbursed attorneys' expenses) - \$15,000.00 (payment to independent fiduciary) - \$93,000 (settlement administration costs) x 33-1/3% = attorneys' fees of **\$523,879.48**.

9. For the reasons set forth above, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court clarify its decision regarding Plaintiffs' request for attorneys' fees. Plaintiffs have conferred with Defendants who do not oppose the relief sought herein.

WHEREFORE, for the Court's convenience, the Plaintiffs submit hereto (and email simultaneously to the Court) as Exhibit 1 the previously submitted proposed Final Approval Order and Judgment, modified to include a paragraph awarding attorneys' fees and expenses, and case contribution awards for the named plaintiffs. Attached as Exhibit 2 is a redline version of the proposed Final Approval Order and Judgment to show the changes made.

Dated: October 3, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

**CAPOZZI ADLER, P.C.**

*/s/ Mark K. Gyandoh*

Mark K. Gyandoh, Esquire  
Gabrielle Kelerchian, Esquire

312 Old Lancaster Road

Merion Station, PA 19066

Telephone: (610) 890-0200

Facsimile: (717) 233-4103

Email: [markg@capozziadler.com](mailto:markg@capozziadler.com)

[gabriellek@capozziadler.com](mailto:gabriellek@capozziadler.com)

**CAPOZZI ADLER, P.C.**

Donald R. Reavey, Esquire

2933 North Front Street

Harrisburg, PA 17110

Telephone: (717) 233-4101

Facsimile: (717) 233-4103

Email: [donr@capozziadler.com](mailto:donr@capozziadler.com)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on October 3, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was filed with the Court utilizing its ECF system, which will send notice of such filing to all counsel of record.

By: Mark K. Gyandoh  
Mark K. Gyandoh, Esq.

# EXHIBIT 1

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

**KRISTAL M. KHAN, MICHELLE R.  
BALLINGER, and GEORGE A. CRAAN,  
individually and on behalf of all others  
similarly situated,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**PTC INC., THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS  
OF PTC INC., THE INVESTMENT  
COMMITTEE OF PTC INC., and JOHN  
DOES 1-30,**

**Defendants.**

**Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-11710-  
WGY**

**[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT**

This Action came before the Court for hearing on **September 22, 2022** to determine the fairness of the proposed Settlement presented to the Court and the subject of this Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Preliminarily Certifying a Class for Settlement Purposes, Approving Form and Manner of Settlement Notice, and Setting Date for a Fairness Hearing. During the Fairness Hearing, the Court considered, among other things, Plaintiffs' Motion for Approval of Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Case Contribution Awards for the Named Plaintiffs. Due notice having been given and the Court having been fully advised in the premises,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**

Except as otherwise defined herein, all capitalized terms used in this Final Order and Judgment shall have the same meanings as ascribed to them in the Settlement Agreement executed by counsel on behalf of the Named Plaintiffs, all Class Members, and Defendants, respectively.

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all Settling Parties, including all members of the Settlement Class.

2. For the sole purpose of settling and resolving the Action, the Court certifies this Action as a class action pursuant to Rules 23(a) and (b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

The Settlement Class is defined as:

All persons who participated in the Plan at any time during the Class Period (September 17, 2014 through May 12, 2022), including any Beneficiary of a deceased person who participated in the Plan at any time during the Class Period, and any Alternate Payee of a person subject to a Qualified Domestic Relations Order who participated in the Plan at any time during the Class Period. Excluded from the Settlement Class are Defendants and their Beneficiaries.

3. The Court finds for the sole purpose of settling and resolving the Action that:

(a) as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(1), the Settlement Class is ascertainable from records kept with respect to the Plan and from other objective criteria, and the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

(b) as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(2), there are one or more questions of law and/or fact common to the Settlement Class.

(c) as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(3), the claims of the Named Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class that the Named Plaintiffs seek to certify.

(d) as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(4), that the Named Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class in that: (i) the interests of the Named Plaintiffs and the nature of the alleged claims are consistent with those of the Settlement Class members; and (ii) there appear to be no conflicts between or among the Named Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class.

(e) as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(b)(1), the prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Settlement Class would create a risk of: (i) inconsistent or varying adjudications as to individual Settlement Class members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the parties opposing the claims asserted in this Action; or (ii) adjudications as to individual Settlement Class members that, as a practical matter, would be dispositive of the interests of the other members not parties to the individual adjudications, or substantially impair or impede the ability of such persons to protect their interests.

(f) as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(g), Class Counsel are capable of fairly and adequately representing the interests of the Settlement Class, and that Class Counsel: (i) have done appropriate work identifying or investigating potential claims in the Action; (ii) are experienced in handling class actions; and (iii) have committed the necessary resources to represent the Settlement Class.

4. The Court hereby appoints Named Plaintiffs Kristal M. Khan, Michelle R. Ballinger, and George A. Craan as Class Representatives for the Settlement Class and Capozzi Adler, P.C., as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class.

5. The Court hereby finds that the Settlement Class has received proper and adequate notice of the Settlement, the Fairness Hearing, Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation costs and for Case Contribution Awards to the Named Plaintiffs, and the Plan of Allocation, such notice having been given in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order. Such notice included individual notice to all members of the Settlement Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts, as well as notice through a dedicated Settlement website on the internet, and provided valid, due, and sufficient notice of these proceedings and of the



matters set forth in this Order, and included sufficient information regarding the procedure for the making of objections. Such notice constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances and fully satisfies the requirements of FED. R. CIV. P. 23 and the requirements of due process.

6. The Court hereby approves the Settlement and hereby orders that the Settlement shall be consummated and implemented in accordance with its terms and conditions.

7. Pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 23(e), the Court finds that the Settlement embodied in the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate to the Plan and the Settlement Class, and more particularly finds that:

(a) The Settlement was negotiated vigorously and at arm's-length, under the auspices of the Mediator, by Defense Counsel, on the one hand, and the Named Plaintiffs and Class Counsel on behalf of the Settlement Class, on the other hand;

(b) Plaintiffs and Defendants had sufficient information to evaluate the settlement value of the Action;

(c) If the Settlement had not been achieved, Named Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class faced the expense, risk, and uncertainty of extended litigation;

(d) The amount of the Settlement – one million seven hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars (\$1,725,000.00) is fair, reasonable, and adequate, taking into account the costs, risks, and delay of trial and appeal. The method of distributing the Class Settlement Amount is efficient and requires no filing of claims. The Settlement terms related to attorneys' fees do not raise any questions concerning fairness of the Settlement, and there are no agreements, apart from the Settlement, required to be considered under FED. R. CIV. P. 23(e)(2)(C)(iv). The Class Settlement Amount is within the range of settlement values obtained in similar cases;

(e) At all times, the Named Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have acted independently of Defendants and in the interest of the Settlement Class; and

(f) The Court has duly considered and overruled any filed objection(s) to the Settlement to the extent there were any.

8. The Plan of Allocation is finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Settlement Administrator shall distribute the Net Settlement Amount in accordance with the Plan of Allocation and the Settlement Agreement. The Settlement Administrator shall have final authority to determine the share of the Net Settlement Amount to be allocated to each Class Member in accordance with the Plan of Allocation approved by the Court.

9. All requirements of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1711, *et seq.*, have been met.

10. The releases and covenants not to sue set forth in the Settlement Agreement, including but not limited to Article 7 of the Settlement Agreement, together with the definitions contained in the Settlement Agreement relating thereto, are expressly incorporated herein in all respects, except that the Definition of “Released Claims” in section 1.37 is modified as follows:

“Released Claims” means any and all actual or potential claims (including claims for any and all losses, damages, unjust enrichment, attorneys’ fees, disgorgement, litigation costs, injunction, declaration, contribution, indemnification or any other type or nature of legal or equitable relief), actions, demands, rights, obligations, liabilities, expenses, costs, and causes of action, accrued or not, whether arising under federal, state or local law, whether by statute, contract, or equity, whether brought in an individual or representative capacity, whether accrued or not, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, foreseen or unforeseen based in whole or in part on acts or failures to act through the end of the Class Period.”

11. The Releases are effective as of the Settlement Effective Date. Accordingly, the Court orders that, as of the Settlement Effective Date, the Plan, the Class Representatives, and the Class Members (and their respective heirs, beneficiaries, executors, administrators, estates, past and present partners, officers, directors, predecessors, successors, assigns, agents, and attorneys) hereby fully, finally, and forever settle, release, relinquish, waive, and discharge all Released Parties (including Defendants) from all Released Claims, regardless of whether or not such Class Member may discover facts in addition to or different from those which the Class Members or Class Counsel now know or believe to be true with respect to the Class Action and the Released Claims and regardless of whether such Class Member receives a monetary benefit from the Settlement, actually received the Settlement Notice, filed an objection to the Settlement or to any application by Class Counsel for an award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and whether or not the objections or claims for distribution of such Class Member have been approved or allowed.

11. The Class Representatives, Class Members, and the Plan hereby settle, release, relinquish, waive, and discharge any and all rights or benefits they may now have, or in the future may have, under any law relating to the releases of unknown claims, including without limitation, Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides: "A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release and that if known by him or her would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party." The Class Representatives, Class Members, and the Plan with respect to the Released Claims also hereby waive any and all provisions, rights and benefits conferred by any law or of any State or territory within the United States or any foreign country, or any principle of common law, which is similar, comparable or equivalent in substance to Section 1542 of the California Civil Code.

12. The Class Representatives, the Class Members, and the Plan acting individually or together, or in combination with others, are hereby permanently and finally barred and enjoined from suing the Released Parties in any action or proceeding alleging any of the Released Claims.

13. Each Class Member hereby releases the Released Parties, Defense Counsel, and Class Counsel for any claims, liabilities, and attorneys' fees and expenses arising from the allocation of the Gross Settlement Amount or Net Settlement Amount and for all tax liability and associated penalties and interest as well as related attorneys' fees and expenses.

14. The operative complaint and all claims asserted therein in the Action are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to any of the Settling Parties and Released Parties other than as provided for in the Settlement Agreement.

15. The Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction to resolve any disputes or challenges that may arise as to the performance of the Settlement Agreement or any challenges as to the performance, validity, interpretation, administration, enforcement, or enforceability of the Settlement Notice, Plan of Allocation, this Final Order and Judgment, or the Settlement Agreement or the termination of the Settlement Agreement. The Court shall also retain exclusive jurisdiction and rule by separate Order with respect to all applications for awards of attorneys' fees and Case Contribution Awards to the Named Plaintiffs, and reimbursements of litigation costs, submitted pursuant to the Settlement Agreement.

16. Any motion to enforce this Final Order and Judgment or the Settlement Agreement, including by way of injunction, may be filed in this Court, and the provisions of the Settlement Agreement and/or this Final Order or Judgment may also be asserted by way of an affirmative defense or counterclaim in response to any action that is asserted to violate the Settlement Agreement.

17. In the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated, in accordance with its terms, this Final Order and Judgment shall be rendered null and void, ab initio, and shall be vacated nunc pro tunc, and this Action shall for all purposes with respect to the Parties revert to its status as of the day immediately before the day the Settlement was reached. The Parties shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity to negotiate a new case management schedule.

18. With respect to any matters that arise concerning the implementation of distributions to Class Members who have an Active Account (after allocation decisions have been made by the Settlement Administrator in its sole discretion), all questions not resolved by the Settlement Agreement shall be resolved by the Plan administrator or other fiduciaries of the Plan, in accordance with applicable law and the governing terms of the Plan.

19. Within twenty-one (21) calendar days following the issuance of all settlement payments to Class Members as provided by the Plan of Allocation approved by the Court, the Settlement Administrator shall prepare and provide to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel a list of each person who received a settlement payment or contribution from the Qualified Settlement Fund and the amount of such payment or contribution.

20. Further, Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees is approved in part. Having reviewed Class Counsel's application and applicable legal authorities, the Court awards Class Counsel \_\_\_\_\_ in attorneys' fees (33 1/3 percent of the net settlement amount, after expense and case contribution award deductions).

21. Class Counsel's request for reimbursement of \$15,204.37 in expenses incurred in the prosecution of the action is approved. The Court has reviewed these expenses and finds that they are reasonable and appropriate given the nature of this action.

22. Plaintiffs' request for case contribution awards in the amount of \$10,000 each (\$30,000 total) to Plaintiffs Kristal M. Khan, Michelle R. Ballinger, and Georger A. Craan is approved. The Court finds these awards to be justified under the facts of this case and consistent with applicable legal authorities.

23. Upon entry of this Order, all Settling Parties, the Settlement Class, and the Plan shall be bound by the Settlement Agreement and this Final Order and Judgment.

SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2022.

---

Hon. William G. Young  
United States District Judge

## EXHIBIT 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

KRISTAL M. KHAN, MICHELLE R.  
BALLINGER, and GEORGE A. CRAAN,  
individually and on behalf of all others  
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PTC INC., THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS  
OF PTC INC., THE INVESTMENT  
COMMITTEE OF PTC INC., and JOHN  
DOES 1-30,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-11710-  
WGY

**[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT**

This Action came before the Court for hearing on September 22, 2022 to determine the fairness of the proposed Settlement presented to the Court and the subject of this Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Preliminarily Certifying a Class for Settlement Purposes, Approving Form and Manner of Settlement Notice, and Setting Date for a Fairness Hearing. During the Fairness Hearing, the Court considered, among other things, Plaintiffs' Motion for Approval of Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Case Contribution Awards for the Named Plaintiffs. Due notice having been given and the Court having been fully advised in the premises,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**

Except as otherwise defined herein, all capitalized terms used in this Final Order and Judgment shall have the same meanings as ascribed to them in the Settlement Agreement executed by counsel on behalf of the Named Plaintiffs, all Class Members, and Defendants, respectively.



1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all Settling Parties, including all members of the Settlement Class.

2. For the sole purpose of settling and resolving the Action, the Court certifies this Action as a class action pursuant to Rules 23(a) and (b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

The Settlement Class is defined as:

All persons who participated in the Plan at any time during the Class Period (September 17, 2014 through May 12, 2022), including any Beneficiary of a deceased person who participated in the Plan at any time during the Class Period, and any Alternate Payee of a person subject to a Qualified Domestic Relations Order who participated in the Plan at any time during the Class Period. Excluded from the Settlement Class are Defendants and their Beneficiaries.

3. The Court finds for the sole purpose of settling and resolving the Action that:

(a) as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(1), the Settlement Class is ascertainable from records kept with respect to the Plan and from other objective criteria, and the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

(b) as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(2), there are one or more questions of law and/or fact common to the Settlement Class.

(c) as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(3), the claims of the Named Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class that the Named Plaintiffs seek to certify.

(d) as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(4), that the Named Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class in that: (i) the interests of the Named Plaintiffs and the nature of the alleged claims are consistent with those of the Settlement Class members; and (ii) there appear to be no conflicts between or among the Named Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class.

(e) as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(b)(1), the prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Settlement Class would create a risk of: (i) inconsistent or varying adjudications as to individual Settlement Class members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the parties opposing the claims asserted in this Action; or (ii) adjudications as to individual Settlement Class members that, as a practical matter, would be dispositive of the interests of the other members not parties to the individual adjudications, or substantially impair or impede the ability of such persons to protect their interests.

(f) as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(g), Class Counsel are capable of fairly and adequately representing the interests of the Settlement Class, and that Class Counsel: (i) have done appropriate work identifying or investigating potential claims in the Action; (ii) are experienced in handling class actions; and (iii) have committed the necessary resources to represent the Settlement Class.

4. The Court hereby appoints Named Plaintiffs Kristal M. Khan, Michelle R. Ballinger, and George A. Craan as Class Representatives for the Settlement Class and Capozzi Adler, P.C., as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class.

5. The Court hereby finds that the Settlement Class has received proper and adequate notice of the Settlement, the Fairness Hearing, Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation costs and for Case Contribution Awards to the Named Plaintiffs, and the Plan of Allocation, such notice having been given in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order. Such notice included individual notice to all members of the Settlement Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts, as well as notice through a dedicated Settlement website on the internet, and provided valid, due, and sufficient notice of these proceedings and of the

matters set forth in this Order, and included sufficient information regarding the procedure for the making of objections. Such notice constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances and fully satisfies the requirements of FED. R. CIV. P. 23 and the requirements of due process.

6. The Court hereby approves the Settlement and hereby orders that the Settlement shall be consummated and implemented in accordance with its terms and conditions.

7. Pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 23(e), the Court finds that the Settlement embodied in the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate to the Plan and the Settlement Class, and more particularly finds that:

(a) The Settlement was negotiated vigorously and at arm's-length, under the auspices of the Mediator, by Defense Counsel, on the one hand, and the Named Plaintiffs and Class Counsel on behalf of the Settlement Class, on the other hand;

(b) Plaintiffs and Defendants had sufficient information to evaluate the settlement value of the Action;

(c) If the Settlement had not been achieved, Named Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class faced the expense, risk, and uncertainty of extended litigation;

(d) The amount of the Settlement – one million seven hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars (\$1,725,000.00) is fair, reasonable, and adequate, taking into account the costs, risks, and delay of trial and appeal. The method of distributing the Class Settlement Amount is efficient and requires no filing of claims. The Settlement terms related to attorneys' fees do not raise any questions concerning fairness of the Settlement, and there are no agreements, apart from the Settlement, required to be considered under FED. R. CIV. P. 23(e)(2)(C)(iv). The Class Settlement Amount is within the range of settlement values obtained in similar cases;

(e) At all times, the Named Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have acted independently of Defendants and in the interest of the Settlement Class; and

(f) The Court has duly considered and overruled any filed objection(s) to the Settlement to the extent there were any.

8. The Plan of Allocation is finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Settlement Administrator shall distribute the Net Settlement Amount in accordance with the Plan of Allocation and the Settlement Agreement. The Settlement Administrator shall have final authority to determine the share of the Net Settlement Amount to be allocated to each Class Member in accordance with the Plan of Allocation approved by the Court.

9. All requirements of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1711, *et seq.*, have been met.

10. The releases and covenants not to sue set forth in the Settlement Agreement, including but not limited to Article 7 of the Settlement Agreement, together with the definitions contained in the Settlement Agreement relating thereto, are expressly incorporated herein in all respects, except that the Definition of “Released Claims” in section 1.37 is modified as follows:

“Released Claims” means any and all actual or potential claims (including claims for any and all losses, damages, unjust enrichment, attorneys’ fees, disgorgement, litigation costs, injunction, declaration, contribution, indemnification or any other type or nature of legal or equitable relief), actions, demands, rights, obligations, liabilities, expenses, costs, and causes of action, accrued or not, whether arising under federal, state or local law, whether by statute, contract, or equity, whether brought in an individual or representative capacity, whether accrued or not, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected,

foreseen or unforeseen based in whole or in part on acts or failures to act through the end of the Class Period.”

11. The Releases are effective as of the Settlement Effective Date. Accordingly, the Court orders that, as of the Settlement Effective Date, the Plan, the Class Representatives, and the Class Members (and their respective heirs, beneficiaries, executors, administrators, estates, past and present partners, officers, directors, predecessors, successors, assigns, agents, and attorneys) hereby fully, finally, and forever settle, release, relinquish, waive, and discharge all Released Parties (including Defendants) from all Released Claims, regardless of whether or not such Class Member may discover facts in addition to or different from those which the Class Members or Class Counsel now know or believe to be true with respect to the Class Action and the Released Claims and regardless of whether such Class Member receives a monetary benefit from the Settlement, actually received the Settlement Notice, filed an objection to the Settlement or to any application by Class Counsel for an award of Attorneys’ Fees and Costs, and whether or not the objections or claims for distribution of such Class Member have been approved or allowed.

11. The Class Representatives, Class Members, and the Plan hereby settle, release, relinquish, waive, and discharge any and all rights or benefits they may now have, or in the future may have, under any law relating to the releases of unknown claims, including without limitation, Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides: “A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release and that if known by him or her would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party.” The Class

Representatives, Class Members, and the Plan with respect to the Released Claims also hereby waive any and all provisions, rights and benefits conferred by any law or of any State or territory within the United States or any foreign country, or any principle of common law, which is similar, comparable or equivalent in substance to Section 1542 of the California Civil Code.

12. The Class Representatives, the Class Members, and the Plan acting individually or together, or in combination with others, are hereby permanently and finally barred and enjoined from suing the Released Parties in any action or proceeding alleging any of the Released Claims.

13. Each Class Member hereby releases the Released Parties, Defense Counsel, and Class Counsel for any claims, liabilities, and attorneys' fees and expenses arising from the allocation of the Gross Settlement Amount or Net Settlement Amount and for all tax liability and associated penalties and interest as well as related attorneys' fees and expenses.

14. The operative complaint and all claims asserted therein in the Action are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to any of the Settling Parties and Released Parties other than as provided for in the Settlement Agreement.

15. The Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction to resolve any disputes or challenges that may arise as to the performance of the Settlement Agreement or any challenges as to the performance, validity, interpretation, administration, enforcement, or enforceability of the Settlement Notice, Plan of Allocation, this Final Order and Judgment, or the Settlement Agreement or the termination of the Settlement Agreement. The Court shall also retain exclusive jurisdiction and rule by separate Order with respect to all applications for awards of attorneys' fees and Case Contribution Awards to the Named Plaintiffs, and reimbursements of litigation costs, submitted pursuant to the Settlement Agreement.

16. Any motion to enforce this Final Order and Judgment or the Settlement Agreement, including by way of injunction, may be filed in this Court, and the provisions of the Settlement Agreement and/or this Final Order or Judgment may also be asserted by way of an affirmative defense or counterclaim in response to any action that is asserted to violate the Settlement Agreement.

17. In the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated, in accordance with its terms, this Final Order and Judgment shall be rendered null and void, ab initio, and shall be vacated nunc pro tunc, and this Action shall for all purposes with respect to the Parties revert to its status as of the day immediately before the day the Settlement was reached. The Parties shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity to negotiate a new case management schedule.

18. With respect to any matters that arise concerning the implementation of distributions to Class Members who have an Active Account (after allocation decisions have been made by the Settlement Administrator in its sole discretion), all questions not resolved by the Settlement Agreement shall be resolved by the Plan administrator or other fiduciaries of the Plan, in accordance with applicable law and the governing terms of the Plan.

19. Within twenty-one (21) calendar days following the issuance of all settlement payments to Class Members as provided by the Plan of Allocation approved by the Court, the Settlement Administrator shall prepare and provide to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel a list of each person who received a settlement payment or contribution from the Qualified Settlement Fund and the amount of such payment or contribution.

20. Further, Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees is approved in part. Having reviewed Class Counsel's application and applicable legal authorities, the Court awards Class Counsel \_\_\_\_\_ in attorneys' fees (33 1/3 percent of the net settlement amount, after expense and case contribution award deductions).

21. Class Counsel's request for reimbursement of \$15,204.37 in expenses incurred in the prosecution of the action is approved. The Court has reviewed these expenses and finds that they are reasonable and appropriate given the nature of this action.

22. Plaintiffs' request for case contribution awards in the amount of \$10,000 each (\$30,000 total) to Plaintiffs Kristal M. Khan, Michelle R. Ballinger, and Georger A. Craan is approved. The Court finds these awards to be justified under the facts of this case and consistent with applicable legal authorities.

23. Upon entry of this Order, all Settling Parties, the Settlement Class, and the Plan shall be bound by the Settlement Agreement and this Final Order and Judgment.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5"

SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2022.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. William G. Young  
United States District Judge